



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/550,962	04/17/2000	Akihiro Yamashita	MAT-7947US	1642

7590 10/22/2002

Lawrence E Ashery
Ratner & Prestia
Suite 301 One Westlakes Berwyn
P O Box 980
Valley Forge, PA 19482-0980

EXAMINER

ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2674

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/550,962

Applicant(s)

YAMASHITA, AKIHIRO

Examiner

Abbas I Abdulsalam

Art Unit

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 August 2002.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-15 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 2 and 4-15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☒ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 08/08/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Shino (USPN 6195075) does not teach the discharge time for timing the accumulated charge of light emitting element. Applicant also argues that Shino does not teach the luminance of light emitting elements with respect to charge and no charge accumulating states. However as shown in the art rejection below, Shino teaches the positive charge being accumulated in the face of dielectric layer (23) during a writing period W1. See col. 11, lines 51-6, Fig 1, 8 and 9. Also, Shino's Fig 6 shows a relation between discharge current and luminance on one hand and a relation between discharge current and illumination efficiency on the other hand for plasma display device. See col. 7, lines 1-5. As indicated on the graph shown in Fig 6, the relation between discharge current I_d , and luminance B was plotted by a curve and one of ordinary skill in the art would have ascertained that the curve crosses luminance axis at some point making the discharge current value zero. See col. 10, lines 55 and Fig 6. Furthermore, Shino teaches the discharge time of different values with respect scanning and sustaining discharge current values and one of ordinary skill in the art would have ascertained the desired discharge time as it relates to discharge current from the plot in Fig 23. See Fig 23(a, b) .

Art Unit: 2674

Claim Rejections 35 U.S.C. 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Iwasa et al. (USPN 5978403) in view of Shino et. al. (USPN 6195075).

Regarding claims 1, 5, 9, and 13, Iwasa teaches the arrangement of LED and displaying devices. See col.11, lines 21-27. Iwasa teaches a matrix wiring of an anode wire (2), and a cathode wire (3) arranged in multiple numbers. See col. 7, lines 23-30. Iwasa teaches the arrangement of light emitting laser with multiple anode wiring and the application of voltage V2 to the cathode wires (n8 to n14) as well as the connection of current flowing to the anode wiring. See Fig 7, Fig 11 and col. 10, lines 1-6 and col. 13, lines 30-33. Furthermore, Iwasa teaches the time dependance of the current in simulated matrixing along with pattern of current flowing with respect to time elapsed. See col. 14, lines 59-67, and Fig 15. Iwasa also teaches "m.times.n" laser array required for charging and discharging the capacitance of wiring in different cases. See Fig 10. Moreover, Iwasa teaches a photosensitive material drum (40) and a charger for charging the photo sensitive material drum. See col. 15, lines 35-47 and Fig 16. However, Iwasa does not specifically disclose the mathematical relationships among luminance and the discharge time for the light emitting element accumulating the charge as opposed to the one with no accumulation.

Art Unit: 2674

Shino on the other hand teaches the experimental result indicating the relationship between the discharge current I_d , the discharge time, and the luminance. See col. 10, lines 50-64 and Fig 6. In

Both references teach display systems involving a matrix of anode and cathode wirings. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made and one would have looked at shino's experimental graph (Fig. 6) to establish the desired relationships among luminance and discharge time of light emitting elements under various scenarios. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion of Shino the graph showing the experimental results satisfies the desired mathematical relationship.

In addition,, Shino teaches the positive charge being accumulated in the face of dielectric layer (23) during a writing period W1. See col. 11, lines 51-6, Fig 1, 8 and 9. Shino's Fig 6 shows a relation between discharge current and luminance on one hand and a relation between discharge current and illumination efficiency on the other hand for plasma display device. See col. 7, lines 1-5. As indicated on the graph shown in Fig 6, the relation between discharge current I_d , and luminance B was plotted by a curve and one of ordinary skill in the art would have ascertained that the curve crosses luminance axis at some point making the discharge current value zero. See col. 10, lines 55 and Fig 6. Furthermore, Shino teaches the discharge time of different values with respect scanning and sustaining discharge current values and one of ordinary skill in the art would have ascertained the desired discharge time as it relates to discharge current from the plot in Fig 23. See Fig 23(a, b)

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 2, Shino teaches multiple anodes and cathodes forming strips. See col. 5, lines 1-6.

Regarding claim 4, 6-8, 10-12 and 14, Shino teaches the determination of maximum value luminance, power and current. See col. 15, lines 65-67. In addition, Shino teaches a relationship between discharge current and luminance on the one hand and a relationship between discharge current and illumination efficiency on the other hand. See Fig 6. Shino also teaches the sustaining discharge as it relates to varying time. See Fig 14.

Regarding claim 15, Shino teaches a plasma display device for image display on TV, advertisement display boards etc. see col. 1, lines 13-15.

Conclusion

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2674

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Abbas Abdulsalam** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-8591**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard Hjerpe**, can be reached at **(703) 305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks


Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to crystal park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.


RICHARD HJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Abbas Abdulsalam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674